	Ca sas e 4 : 07-00088088 BAD omment r 6 06	3 Friled 1055/1105/20007Page gentl 5of 5
1 2 3 4	Bruce L. Simon (SBN 96241; bsimon@psswpla Esther L. Klisura (SBN 221171; eklisura@pssw PEARSON, SIMON, SOTER, WARSHAW & I 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008	plaw.com)
5 6 7 8 9 10	Attorneys for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs A Computer Place, Inc. and Timothy Chanda Michael P. Lehmann (SBN 77152; mplehmann) Christopher Lebsock (SBN 184546; clebsock@) FURTH, LEHMANN LLP 225 Bush Street San Francisco, CA 94104-4249 Telephone: (415) 433-2070 Facsimile: (415) 982-2076 Attorneys for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs Trong Nguyen, Roxanne Miller, and TechToysF	furth.com)
12 13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
15 16		
	TRONG NGUYEN,	CASE NO. C07-0086 SBA
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING ALTERNATIVE
	·	STIPULATION AND PAROPOSKY
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY LTD., et al., Defendants. A COMPUTER PLACE, INC., and TIMOTHY CHANDA,	STIPULATION AND PROPERTY OF THE STIPULATION AND PROPERTY OF THE STIPULATIVE
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY LTD., et al., Defendants. A COMPUTER PLACE, INC., and	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

	Ca \$204.07-000008888 BAD ocument r 6 063	FFileed 035/105/20007Page 25of 5
1	ROXANNE MILLER,	CASE NO. C07-1147 SBA
3	Plaintiff,	
4	vs. Samsung Electronics Company Ltd., et al.,	
5	Defendants.	
6	D CTCTTAGETOS.	
7	TECHTOYSFORLESS,	CASE NO. C07-1418 SBA
8	Plaintiff,	
9	VS.	
10	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY	
11	LTD., et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGA	2 ARDING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

.....

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, the above-captioned cases have been deemed related pursuant to Local Civil Rule 3-12;

WHEREAS, there are currently pending approximately 23 separate actions alleging antitrust violations in connection with Flash products, and a motion for transfer and coordination of these cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 is currently pending with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation;

WHEREAS, the parties believe it would be unwieldy, inefficient, and unproductive to conduct alternative dispute resolution discussions in the many pending actions until an interim lead plaintiffs' counsel is appointed;

WHEREAS, the parties anticipate the Court's appointment of interim lead class counsel who shall have responsibility for consulting with other plaintiffs' counsel in the selection of alternative dispute resolution;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-8(b) and ADR Local Rule 3-5(b), the undersigned direct purchaser plaintiffs, indirect purchaser plaintiffs, and defendants in these actions, by and through the undersigned attorneys, have met and conferred regarding these issues;

PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANTS, BY AND THROUGH THE UNDERSIGNED

COUNSEL, HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The parties agree that the selection of an alternative dispute resolution process is premature at this time;
- 2. The parties agree to resume discussions on the selection of an alternative dispute resolution process after the appointment of interim lead class counsel, and in the context of further meet and confer discussions on case management.

$Ca\$\text{ca}\$\text{c}07-0003360\$\text{c}8\text{c}8\text{BAD}\text{document}\\ \text{c}063 \quad \text{Filled} \\ \text{035}/\text{105}/\text{2000} \text{7Pa} \\ \text{fea}\$\text{c}045 \text{o}15 \text{o}1$

1	DATED: May 10, 2007	PEARSON, SIMON, SOTER, WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP
2		Estem Keisin
3	Ву:	Esther L. Klisura
4		Attorneys for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs A
5		Computer Place, Inc. and Timothy Chanda
7		
8		
9	DATED: May 10 , 2007	FURTH LEHMANN LLP
10		Esteu Clisco with permission from
11	By:	Christopher Lebsock
12		Attorneys for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs Trong
13		Nguyen, Roxanne Miller, and TechToysForLess
14		
15		
16	DATED: May <u>/0</u> , 2007	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
17		LLF
18 19	Ву:	Illan lout
20		Attorneys for Defendant Samura Samison ductor
21		Attorneys forDefendant Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and with permission from Defendants Hitachi America, Ltd., Renesas Technology
22		America, Inc., Micron Technology, Inc., Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc., Hynix
23		Semiconductor America, Inc., Toshiba America Inc., Toshiba America Electronics Components,
24		Inc., and Mosel Vitelic Corporation (USA)
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER R	4 EGARDING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

	Cascaste 74:07-00 0000 868-86 BAD obcomment rock 3 Frited 1005/105/2000 7Pa (Fee 5 oo 5 oo 5 o
4	WWWWWW.ODDED
1	IT IS SO ORDERED
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: 5/15/07 By:BO
6	Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong
7	United States District Court Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5 stipulation and [proposed] order regarding alternative dispute resolution